

WEAVING CONNECTIONS: Celebrating Migration, Traditional Knowledge, and Innovation across our Flyway



12th Meeting of Partners of the East Asian–Australasian Flyway Partnership 8-14 November 2025 • Cebu, Philippines

EAAFP MOP12 Reporting Template for Non-Government Partners

Dear Partners,

To report on the progress of the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028, a Reporting Template was developed at the 10th Meeting of Partners (MOP10) in Hainan, China which was subsequently used to gather progress from Partners for MOP11 held in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

The EAAFP Secretariat has amended the reporting template to account for the feedback gathered at MOP11 as well as the MOP11 decisions specifically Key Results Areas 1.2 and 1.6. To streamline the process and reduce the length of the reporting document, three separate templates have been created: for (1) Government Partners, (2) Non-Government Partners, and (3) Working Groups, Task Forces, and the Technical Sub-committee.

The "Reporting Questions" are linked to Key Result Areas to enable an assessment of progress with the implementation of each element of the Strategic Plan. Indicators have been provided to facilitate reporting and assess our achievements.

As this Reporting Template was developed in alignment with the Strategic Plan, some questions may appear to overlap. However, completing them all will provide comparability with the previous Partner Reports submitted for MOP11.

While the total number of questions for Non-Government Partners is 43, the number of questions for each Partner Group, and each mechanism of the Partnership, is shown below:

> Government 50 Questions

> Non-Government 43 Questions

Working Groups, Task Forces &

27 Questions Technial Sub-committee

This Reporting Template has been sent to the Focal Point of each Partner, the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Working Group and Task Force, and the Chair of the Technical Sub-committee.

Thank you,

Jennifer George

Chief Executive, EAAFP Secretariat

The EAAFP Reporting Questions

General Information

Name of Reporting Partner			
Reporting Group	□ Inter-Governmental Organisations (IGO)		
	☑ International Non-Governmental Organisations (INGO)		
	□ International Organisation (IO)		
	☐ International Private Enterprise		
	☐ Other (please specify:)		
Designated EAAFP Focal Point	Name	:Dr,. Jon Slaght	
	Position	:	
	Department/Unit	:	
	Street Address/	:	
	P.O. Box		
	Postal Code/City	:	
	Country	:	
	E-mail address	:	
	Phone Number	:	
	Website		
Additional Designated EAAFP	Name	:Dr. Martin Robareds	
Focal Point (Optional)	Position	:Regional Director	
	Department/Unit	:Arctic Beringia Program	
	Street Address/ P.O. Box	:302 Cushman St.	
	Postal Code/City	:Fairbanks, Alaska 99701	
	Country	:USA	
	E-mail address	:mrobards@wcs.org	
	Phone Number	:+1 (907) 750-9991	
	Website	:https://www.wcs.org/our-	
	resolic	work/regions/arctic-beringia	
Additional Designated EAAFP	Name	:	
Focal Point (Optional)	Position	:	
	Department/Unit	:	
	Street Address/	:	
	P.O. Box		
	Postal Code/City	:	
	Country	:	
	E-mail address	:	
	Phone Number	:	
Report Compiler	Name	:Martin Robards (See Above)	
	Position	:	
	Department/Unit	:	
	Street Address/	:	
	P.O. Box		
	Postal Code/City	:	
	Country	:	
	E-mail address	:	
	Phone Number	:	

Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan

Reporting Period: 2023 – 2025

OBJECTIVE 1 Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, with the ultimate goal of establishing a sufficient and efficient network of sites with sustainable management. (FSN page: https://www.eaaflyway.net/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/)

- Supplementary information: <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>, <u>EAAFP Strategic Plan</u> 2019-2028

KRA 1.1 A comprehensive and coherent Flyway Network of Sites is developed for migratory waterbirds, including sites that are not currently Protected Areas.

Indicator 1.1.1 The Flyway Site Network has expanded to include at least 40 additional strategic internationally important sites for migratory waterbird conservation, some of which may not currently be a national Protected Area.

1.	
Has your organisation documented any additional internationally important sites	□Yes
for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview</u>	⊠ No
Report 2013)?	□ Planned
If yes, please provide details on these sites.	
Additional information:	
2.	□Yes
Has your organisation supported Covernment Partners with their identification of	□ 163
Has your organisation supported Government Partners with their identification of	☑ No
high-priority sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network?	⊠ No
, ,	☒ No☐ Planned

KRA 1.2 National and Site Partnerships have been developed to coordinate the implementation of the EAAFP at national and local levels.

Indicator 1.2.2 At least 50% of Government Partners have an active <u>National Partnership</u> and <u>Site Partnerships</u> have been developed for at least 50% of the Flyway Network sites.

3.	
Has your organisation supported national meetings of Flyway Network Site	⊠ Yes
Managers?	□No
If so, please describe the support you have provided (e.g. organising meetings,	□ Planned
funding, etc.).	
Additional information: We developed materials that helped celebrate the sister si	te relationship between
Qupaluk (Alaska) and Higashiyoka-Higata (Japan).	

4.	
Have you supported Flyway Network Site managers in developing work plans	□Yes
related to EAAFP Strategic Objectives?	⊠ No
If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding	□ Planned
etc.).	
Additional information:	
KRA 1.3 Flyway Network Sites are valued by the community and sustainably manag	ged.
Indicator 1.3.1 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites have current management pla objectives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats and that a implemented. Management plans have stakeholder participation and are approved	are being adequately
5.	
Have you supported developing or updating Management Plans of Flyway	□Yes
Network Sites?	⊠ No
If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding	□ Planned
etc.).	
Additional information:	
Indicator 1.3.2 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites recognise the Flyway Site Networks conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF.	
6.	
Has your organisation supported the promotion of the "Flyway Site Network"	⊠ Yes
brand (e.g. information boards, advertising, etc.)?	□No
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.	□ Planned
Additional information: Conference and workshop materials celebrating Qupaluk	(Alaska) and Higashiyoka-
Higata (Japan) sister sites.	, nasna, ana mgasmyona
Indicator 1.3.3 All Partners are using and complying with International standards (Looperation or equivalent) for development within and adjacent to FNS and other in	
waterbird sites.	
7.	
Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory v	-
adversely impacted by a proposed development and describe the assessment pro	cess that was used or is
anticipated to be applied.	
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications	•
Your Response:	
N/Δ	

8. Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development? If yes, please provide brief details on the site(s) and if the development was approved.	☐ Yes☒ No☐ Planned☐ Not known
Additional information:	
KRA 1.4 Where appropriate, Flyway Network Sites are being sustainably used to sup ivelihoods of the local community.	port subsistence
ndicator 1.4.1 Where local communities at Flyway Network Sites depend on the nate support subsistence livelihoods, this is occurring without adverse impacts on mignabitats.	
9. Has your organisation worked with and/or supported local communities in any Flyway Network Sites that are dependent on the Site's natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods? If yes, please indicate details on the kind of support you have provided.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned☐ Not known
Additional information: Information sharing with local partners and regional wildlife departments (in our case – Indigenous). Participating in Utqiagvik Migratory Bird Fe	_
KRA 1.5 Partners and local stakeholders are engaged in responding to activities which Network Sites. Indicator 1.5.1 The level of engagement of EAAFP Partners and local communities in Flyway Network Sites is reflected in the number of meetings and events held and the	n responding to threats to
	e participants attending.
Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are under threat? If yes, please provide details of the sites and the threats and what response you or your local stakeholders have undertaken.	
Additional information: Expansion of oil fields is a risk, particularly under the current the United States.	nt political environment in
VDA 4 C. The FAAFD Cietas Cite Due gramman has surrounded	
KRA 1.6 The EAAFP Sister Site Programme has expanded.	

What involvement has your organisation had in connecting Flyway Network Sites in different countries? *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response: We are an active participant in sister-site relationship building between Higashiyoka-Higata and Qupaluk, including youth engagements and participation in their 10-year anniversary as a RAMSAR site.

12.			
Does your organisation have resources (e.g. funding or in-kind support, etc.) available to enable Sister Site relationships?			
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for re	elevant publications.	•	
Your Response: Yes, but limited.	<u> </u>		
•			
		(OEDA) (11)	
OBJECTIVE 2 Enhance communication, education, participation igratory waterbirds and their habitats.	n and public awaren	ess (CEPA) of the values of	
riigiatory waterbirds and their habitats.			
KRA 2.1 The achievement of the elements in the EAAFP CEPA St	<mark>trategy and Action Pl</mark>	<mark>an (2023-2028)</mark>	
ndicator 2.1.1 The CEPA Action Plan has been monitored, revies EAAFP.	ewed and updated as	s necessary to inform the	
13.		□Yes	
Does your organisation have a CEPA Programme addressing m	igratory	⊠ No	
waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory wa	terbirds?	□ Planned	
If yes, please provide brief details of the programme.		- Trainiou	
Additional information (website links if any):			
14.		⊠ Yes	
Has your organisation made use of the EAAFP CEPA Action Pla	n 2023-2028 when	□No	
planning and implementing the CEPA activities?		□ Planned	
Additional information (give examples of how the Action Plan v	vas reflected e.g. in f	Flyway Network Sites,	
targeting the public, etc.):			
Conference and workshop materials celebrating Qupaluk (Alas	ska) and Higashiyoka	a-Higata (Japan) sister	
sites.			
15.		□ Communication □	
What CEPA activities has your organisation supported in Flywa	y Network Sites?	⊠ Education	
, , , ,		□ Participation	
		⊠ Awareness	
Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links)	·		
· ,			
16.	✓ Notional and lo	aal gavarnmanta	
Has your organisation developed, and/or been implementing	□ National and local governments □ Education Department/Ministry		
awareness-raising programmes, particularly at Flyway	☐ Education Department/Ministry ☐ Site Managers		
Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that			
apply)?	☑ General public☑ Schools/students		
-FE-3).			
		imunities	
	□ None		
Additional information (along provide a detailed description	☐ Planned	including to get grown	
Additional information (please provide a detailed description of simple and major achievements):	or trie programme(s)	including larget groups,	
aims, and major achievements):			

17. Has your organisation hosted or supported event World Wetlands Day or other international aware MOP11 (March 2023)?	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned	
Additional information (list of events and any new Social Media	vs/report links):	
18. Please add below, the success stories you would involvement of Indigenous peoples and local cor *Please provide weblinks if available online or ref. Your Response:	mmunities (IPLCs), youth groups	, citizen scientists, etc.)
OBJECTIVE 3 Enhance flyway research and monite information on waterbirds and their habitats. KRA 3.1 National monitoring systems to assess the established, maintained and further enhanced. Indicator 3.1.1 A standardised monitoring method and used in nationally coordinated monitoring pro-	e status of migratory waterbirds dology for migratory waterbirds a	and their habitats are
In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring programme(s) and monitoring efforts since MOP11 (March 2023). Note: Countries are listed in order of joining the	□ Australia □ Indonesia □ Japan □ Philippines □ Republic of Korea □ Russia □ Singapore □ United States of America □ Cambodia □ China □ Bangladesh □ Thailand	 □ New Zealand □ Malaysia □ Myanmar □ Viet Nam □ Democratic People's Republic of Korea □ Papua New Guinea □ Brunei Darussalam □ Lao People's Democratic Republic □ Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste
Partnership.		□ None

Additional information:

KRA 3.2 Conservation status reviews for waterbird populations are produced and updated to set and adapt priorities for action.

Indicator 3.2.1 Data describing waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions are available to the Partnership.

20.

Please report briefly on data management of your organisation in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Nordmann's Greenshank baseline surveys of habitat use and nesting distribution.

Indicator 3.2.2 Two updates of waterbird population estimates have been produced and published.

21.

Please report on your planned contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review II. Please see the <u>CSR I Report</u> for further reference.

Your Response:

N/A

KRA 3.3 Updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritisation.

KRA 3.4 A stronger understanding is developed on the anticipated impacts of climate change on waterbirds and their habitats and this is informing planning and site management.

Indicator 3.4.1 Improved knowledge about threats, including climate change impacts, on waterbirds and their habitats is shared and appropriate action taken where possible.

22.

Please provide details on key research your organisation is involved in on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Maleko, P.N., K. Sinhaseni, J. Khamaye, P. Pichayamahoot, P.D. Round, D. Allen, M. Robards, and J.C. Slaght. 2025. *Wader Study* 131(3): 180-189.

McGuire, R.L., M. **Robards**, and J.R. Liebezeit. 2023. Patterns in avian reproduction in the Prudhoe Bay Oilfield, Alaska, 2003–2019. *Journal of Avian Biology*: p.e03075.

KRA 3.5 Collaborative research programmes are established to provide effective support for conservation and sustainable management efforts, particularly the sustainable use of resources for local livelihood benefits.

Indicator 3.5.1 Research programmes on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes have increased.

23.

Please provide brief information on areas of collaborative research programmes your organisation is involved in since MOP11 (March 2023) about the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds. Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

N/A

Indicator 3.5.2 Knowledge generated is being applied in at least 50% of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

24.

Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programmes on the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

N/A

KRA 3.6 Best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation programmes, including the incorporation of traditional knowledge, are developed and made available.

Indicator 3.6.1 Best practice guidelines are available on the EAAFP website.

25.

Please provide brief details on the development and application of national-level best practice guidelines your organisation is involved in for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

N/A

26.

In what ways have you considered, or already included traditional knowledge, Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (IPLCs), or citizen science in your work?

Additional information:

We are working with an emerging group of Indigenous partners to better address Indigenous People's needs and interests in migratory birds, using a co-produced program on bar-tailed godwits as a focal species.

OBJECTIVE 4 Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders.

KRA 4.1 EAAFP promotes the use of the range of available training tools and provides assistance to address challenges at Flyway Network Sites.

Indicator 4.1.1 All Partners and Secretariat have mechanisms for capacity building in place to facilitate the

sharing of knowledge, tools and experience.	•
27. Has your organisation been involved in <u>identifying/developing</u> capacity building activities and materials about migratory waterbird and habitat management? If yes, please provide some details.	☐ Yes☒ No☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Has your organisation implemented capacity building activities and materials for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitats? If yes, please provide feedback on their values.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Indicator 4.1.2 Partners and the Secretariat include capacity building assessment i	n project proposals.
29. Has your organisation included a training needs assessment or survey in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MOP11 (March 2023)? If yes, please provide some additional information.	☐ Yes☒ No☐ Not applicable☐ Planned
Additional information:	
Indicator 4.1.3 The EAAFP online technical training manual for Flyway Site manage by at least 50% of Flyway Site Managers.	ment is supported and used
Has your organisation used the <u>EAAFP online technical training materials</u> for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of materials. Additional information:	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned
Additional information.	
KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP ob Indicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site manager	
31. Has your organisation been able to participate in any meetings of EAAFP Partner Focal Points? (e.g. Science Symposium, AFN workshops, CMS COP etc.) If yes, have any new collaborations with other EAAFP Partners been developed from these meeting(s)? Please provide details.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned

Additional information:

KRA 4.3 Corporates with operations impacting on migratory waterbirds are engaged in delivering better outcomes for the conservation of waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 4.3.1 An increased number of internationally important sites and programmes, in which Corporates are contributing to positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

32.

Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programmes to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

N/A

OBJECTIVE 5 Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

KRA 5.1 Partners are actively collaborating to develop approaches to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF across national boundaries.

Indicator 5.1.1 At least 50% of Partners are collaborating across national boundaries initiatives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, particularly for threatened migratory waterbirds.

33.

Please provide brief details on your organisation's transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds (e.g. NEASPEC, AFN, bilateral agreements, AMBI, Sister Sites etc.).

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

We are actively engaged on international networking efforts focused on the conservation of Nordmann's Greenshank and Bar-tailed Godwits.

34.

What does your organisation consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats particularly across national boundaries since MOP11 (March 2023)?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Better engagement with Indigenous partners in the breeding grounds.

KRA 5.2 Threatened migratory waterbirds are protected from threats and populations are stable or increasing. Indicator 5.2.1 The Partnership, with leadership from IUCN, BirdLife International & Wetlands International, is updating and maintaining a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations and encouraging Government Partners to protect these threatened populations under national legislation. 35. Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which your organisation has been involved in. *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications. Your Response: N/A 36. Has your organisation been involved in taking actions to reduce threats to migratory waterbirds? □No If yes, please provide some examples of measures taken (e.g. addressing □ Planned negative impacts of renewable energy, habitat restoration, etc.) Additional information: Advocated for the Integrated Activity Plan in the National Petroleum Reserve – Alaska where the Qupaluk Flyway site is located. Indicator 5.2.2 Single Species Action Plans are developed and implemented for threatened migratory waterbird species in the EAAF. 37. Please outline the contribution your organisation has made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans since MOP11 (March 2023) (e.g. Far Eastern Curlew, Masked Finfoot, Blackfaced Spoonbill, Spoon-billed Sandpiper). *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications. Your Response: N/A Indicator 5.2.3 Populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are either stable or increasing. 38. ☐ Yes Has your organisation been involved in any programme(s) to assess changes in ⊠ No the status of populations of threatened waterbirds?

If yes, please provide details.

Additional information:

□ Planned

KRA 5.3 Regional Action Plans are developed and implemented for priority geographic regions of the EAAF.

Indicator 5.3.1 Development and implementation of Regional Action Plans for geographical regions with common critical threats in the EAAF.

39.

What has been your organisation's involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans (e.g. SPREP, AFN, AMBI)?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

AMBI on waterfowl and shorebird hunting

KRA 5.4 Measures to reduce and, as far as possible eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds are developed and implemented.

Indicator 5.4.1 All Government Partners have mechanisms in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds.

40.

What work has your organisation done to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate illegal hunting, taking and trade of migratory waterbirds?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

N/A

KRA 5.5 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is mainstreamed into national legislation and/or policy instruments including adaptation to the impacts of climate changes.

KRA 5.6 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is integrated into relevant multilateral and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms.

Indicator 5.6.1 Relevant environmental agreements recognise the EAAFP as an effective regional framework to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

41.

Please provide any suggestions your organisation has on strengthening existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Continue to build relationships and projects with AMBI

Others

42.

Please provide any feedback you have on this Partner Reporting Template.

Your Response:

43.

Please add any additional information you would like to share with us.

Your Response: