

EAAFP MOP12 Reporting Template for Non-Government Partners

Dear Partners,

To report on the progress of the implementation of the [EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028](#), a Reporting Template was developed at the 10th Meeting of Partners (MOP10) in Hainan, China which was subsequently used to gather progress from Partners for MOP11 held in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

The EAAFP Secretariat has amended the reporting template to account for the feedback gathered at MOP11 as well as the MOP11 decisions specifically Key Results Areas 1.2 and 1.6. To streamline the process and reduce the length of the reporting document, three separate templates have been created: for (1) Government Partners, (2) Non-Government Partners, and (3) Working Groups, Task Forces, and the Technical Sub-committee.

The “Reporting Questions” are linked to Key Result Areas to enable an assessment of progress with the implementation of each element of the Strategic Plan. Indicators have been provided to facilitate reporting and assess our achievements.

As this Reporting Template was developed in alignment with the Strategic Plan, some questions may appear to overlap. However, completing them all will provide comparability with the previous [Partner Reports](#) submitted for MOP11.

While the total number of questions for Non-Government Partners is 43, the number of questions for each Partner Group, and each mechanism of the Partnership, is shown below:

Government	50 Questions
Non-Government	43 Questions
Working Groups, Task Forces & Technical Sub-committee	27 Questions

This Reporting Template has been sent to the Focal Point of each Partner, the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Working Group and Task Force, and the Chair of the Technical Sub-committee.

Thank you,

Jennifer George

Chief Executive, EAAFP Secretariat

The EAAFP Reporting Questions

General Information

Name of Reporting Partner	International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)
Reporting Group	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inter-Governmental Organisations (IGO) <input type="checkbox"/> International Non-Governmental Organisations (INGO) <input type="checkbox"/> International Organisation (IO) <input type="checkbox"/> International Private Enterprise <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify:)
Designated EAAFP Focal Point	Name : Alex McWilliam Position : Regional Coordinator, Species and Habitats Department/Unit : Science and Strategy Group Street Address/ : Sukhumvit Soi 39 P.O. Box Postal Code/City : Bangkok Country : Thailand E-mail address : alex.mcwilliam@iucn.org Phone Number : 0000 Website : https://iucn.org/our-work/region/asia
Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)	Name : Position : Department/Unit : Street Address/ : P.O. Box Postal Code/City : Country : E-mail address : Phone Number :
Additional Designated EAAFP Focal Point (Optional)	Name : Position : Department/Unit : Street Address/ : P.O. Box Postal Code/City : Country : E-mail address : Phone Number :
Report Compiler	Name : Alex McWilliam Position : Regional Coordinator, Species and Habitats Department/Unit : Science and Strategy Group Street Address/ : Sukhumvit Soi 39 P.O. Box Postal Code/City : Bangkok Country : Thailand E-mail address : alex.mcwilliam@iucn.org Phone Number : 0000 Website : https://iucn.org/our-work/region/asia

Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan

Reporting Period: 2023 – 2025

OBJECTIVE 1 Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, with the ultimate goal of establishing a sufficient and efficient network of sites with sustainable management. (FSN page: <https://www.eaaflyway.net/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/>)

- Supplementary information: [EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013](#), [EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028](#)

KRA 1.1 A comprehensive and coherent Flyway Network of Sites is developed for migratory waterbirds, including sites that are not currently Protected Areas.

Indicator 1.1.1 The Flyway Site Network has expanded to include at least 40 additional strategic internationally important sites for migratory waterbird conservation, some of which may not currently be a national Protected Area.

1. Has your organisation documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planned
Additional information: • In China, IUCN is planning to work with Members to identify additional important sites, specifically to apply the KBA criteria to those sites initially mapped out during field visits.	
2. Has your organisation supported Government Partners with their identification of high-priority sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
Additional information:	

KRA 1.2 National and Site Partnerships have been developed to coordinate the implementation of the EAAFP at national and local levels.

Indicator 1.2.2 At least 50% of Government Partners have an active [National Partnership](#) and [Site Partnerships](#) have been developed for at least 50% of the Flyway Network sites.

3. Has your organisation supported national meetings of Flyway Network Site Managers? If so, please describe the support you have provided (e.g. organising meetings, funding, etc.).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
Additional information: • In China, IUCN supported a training workshop hosted by the National Forestry and Grassland Administration for wetland site managers, facilitating sessions focusing on OECMs.	

<p>4.</p> <p>Have you supported Flyway Network Site managers in developing work plans related to EAAFP Strategic Objectives?</p> <p>If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding etc.).</p> <p>Additional information:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
---	--

KRA 1.3 Flyway Network Sites are valued by the community and sustainably managed.

Indicator 1.3.1 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites have current management plans that address specific objectives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats and that are being adequately implemented. Management plans have stakeholder participation and are approved by relevant agencies.

<p>5.</p> <p>Have you supported developing or updating Management Plans of Flyway Network Sites?</p> <p>If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding etc.).</p> <p>Additional information:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
---	--

Indicator 1.3.2 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites recognise the Flyway Site Network as a brand for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF.

<p>6.</p> <p>Has your organisation supported the promotion of the "Flyway Site Network" brand (e.g. information boards, advertising, etc.)?</p> <p>*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.</p> <p>Additional information:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
--	--

Indicator 1.3.3 All Partners are using and complying with International standards ([International Finance Cooperation](#) or equivalent) for development within and adjacent to FNS and other internationally important waterbird sites.

<p>7.</p> <p>Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that may be adversely impacted by a proposed development and describe the assessment process that was used or is anticipated to be applied.</p> <p>*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.</p> <p>Your Response:</p>

<p>8.</p> <p>Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted by a proposed development?</p> <p>If yes, please provide brief details on the site(s) and if the development was approved.</p> <p>Additional information:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Planned</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not known</p>
--	--

KRA 1.4 Where appropriate, Flyway Network Sites are being sustainably used to support subsistence livelihoods of the local community.

Indicator 1.4.1 Where local communities at Flyway Network Sites depend on the natural resources of the site to support subsistence livelihoods, this is occurring without adverse impacts on migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

<p>9.</p> <p>Has your organisation worked with and/or supported local communities in any Flyway Network Sites that are dependent on the Site's natural resources to support subsistence livelihoods?</p> <p>If yes, please indicate details on the kind of support you have provided.</p> <p>Additional information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Gulf of Mottama Project in Myanmar supported Local Conservation Groups in 8 townships of the Gulf of Mottama Ramar site (an important wintering site for Spoon-billed sandpiper) for SMART patrolling and awareness raising for conservation of shorebirds. • The Mekong EbA South project, funded by the Adaptation Fund, will work with communities around Tram Chim FNS to support climate resilient livelihoods and the restoration of wetlands around the site. 	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Planned</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Not known</p>
---	---

KRA 1.5 Partners and local stakeholders are engaged in responding to activities which may threaten Flyway Network Sites.

Indicator 1.5.1 The level of engagement of EAAFP Partners and local communities in responding to threats to Flyway Network Sites is reflected in the number of meetings and events held and the participants attending.

<p>10.</p> <p>Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds that are under threat?</p> <p>If yes, please provide details of the sites and the threats and what response you or your local stakeholders have undertaken.</p> <p>Additional information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Vietnam; Tràm Chim National Park, Gò Công, Cần Giờ Biosphere Reserve, Bạc Liêu Bird Ground Nature Reserve • In Thailand; Nong Bong Kai Wildlife Sanctuary , Chiang Rai Province 	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
---	---

KRA 1.6 The EAAFP Sister Site Programme has expanded.

Indicator 1.6.1 At least five new EAAFP Sister Site relationships have been developed.

11.

What involvement has your organisation had in connecting Flyway Network Sites in different countries?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

12.

Does your organisation have resources (e.g. funding or in-kind support, etc.) available to enable Sister Site relationships?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

OBJECTIVE 2 Enhance communication, education, participation and public awareness (CEPA) of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

KRA 2.1 The achievement of the elements in the EAAFP CEPA Strategy and Action Plan (2023-2028)

Indicator 2.1.1 The CEPA Action Plan has been monitored, reviewed and updated as necessary to inform the EAAFP.

13.

Does your organisation have a CEPA Programme addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds?
If yes, please provide brief details of the programme.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Planned

Additional information (website links if any):

- The Gulf of Mottama Project in Myanmar has implemented a CEPA programme s since 2016. A facilitator's guide was developed under the project and there was one dedicated chapter for migratory waterbirds in the guidebook. The guidebook was applied to train youth facilitators and members of local conservation groups to implement CEPA awareness activities in communities.

14.

Has your organisation made use of the [EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2023-2028](#) when planning and implementing the CEPA activities?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ Planned

Additional information (give examples of how the Action Plan was reflected e.g. in Flyway Network Sites, targeting the public, etc.):

15.

What CEPA activities has your organisation supported in Flyway Network Sites?

- ☒ Communication
- ☒ Education
- ☒ Participation
- ☒ Awareness

Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links):

- World Wetland Day support to countries and NGOs, indicated by categories in question 16 below.

16.

Has your organisation developed, and/or been implementing awareness-raising programmes, particularly at Flyway Network Sites, with the following groups (check all that apply)?

- ☒ National and local governments
- ☐ Education Department/Ministry
- ☐ Site Managers
- ☐ General public
- ☒ Schools/students
- ☒ Local communities
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ None
- ☐ Planned

Additional information (please provide a detailed description of the programme(s) including target groups, aims, and major achievements):

- World Wetlands Day 2025 – celebrating conservation progress in Indo-Burma Ramsar Regional Initiative member countries
- 2025- Support provided to the Department of Freshwater Wetlands Conservation, Cambodia to organise a World Wetlands Day celebration on 3 February at Kampong Trolach High School, Kampong Chhnang Province.
- 2025- Support provided to Biodiversity and Nature Conservation Association (BANCA) to organise a World Wetlands Day event at Pyu Lake.

17.

Has your organisation hosted or supported events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since MOP11 (March 2023)?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Planned

Additional information (list of events and any news/report links):

- World Wetlands Day 2025 – celebrating conservation progress in Indo-Burma Ramsar Regional Initiative member countries
- 2025- Support provided to the Department of Freshwater Wetlands Conservation, Cambodia to organise a World Wetlands Day celebration on 3 February at Kampong Trolach High School, Kampong Chhnang Province.
- 2025- Support provided to Biodiversity and Nature Conservation Association (BANCA) to organise a World Wetlands Day event at Pyu Lake.

18.

Please add below, the success stories you would like to share (e.g. promoting bird friendly livelihoods, active involvement of Indigenous peoples and local communities (IPLCs), youth groups, citizen scientists, etc.)

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

- Vietnam Bird Conservation Society organize event welcome migratory birds in Xuan Thuy National Park, <https://vbcs.com.vn/>.

OBJECTIVE 3 Enhance flyway research and monitoring activities, build knowledge and promote exchange of information on waterbirds and their habitats.

KRA 3.1 National monitoring systems to assess the status of migratory waterbirds and their habitats are established, maintained and further enhanced.

Indicator 3.1.1 A standardised monitoring methodology for migratory waterbirds and their habitat is developed and used in nationally coordinated monitoring programmes.

<p>19. In what countries is your organisation involved in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring (select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring programme(s) and monitoring efforts since MOP11 (March 2023).</p> <p>Note: Countries are listed in order of joining the Partnership.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Australia <input type="checkbox"/> Indonesia <input type="checkbox"/> Japan <input type="checkbox"/> Philippines <input type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea <input type="checkbox"/> Russia <input type="checkbox"/> Singapore <input type="checkbox"/> United States of America <input type="checkbox"/> Cambodia <input type="checkbox"/> China <input type="checkbox"/> Bangladesh <input type="checkbox"/> Thailand <input type="checkbox"/> Mongolia	<input type="checkbox"/> New Zealand <input type="checkbox"/> Malaysia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Myanmar <input type="checkbox"/> Viet Nam <input type="checkbox"/> Democratic People's Republic of Korea <input type="checkbox"/> Papua New Guinea <input type="checkbox"/> Brunei Darussalam <input type="checkbox"/> Lao People's Democratic Republic <input type="checkbox"/> Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste <input type="checkbox"/> None
<p>Additional information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As part of the Gulf of Mottama Project, IUCN Myanmar has supported annual shorebird surveys conducted by NCS. These surveys recorded sightings of waterbirds, including threatened species, and estimated their populations using the flock count method. Despite variations in sampling effort, the survey reports provided assessments of population status and trends over time. 		

KRA 3.2 Conservation status reviews for waterbird populations are produced and updated to set and adapt priorities for action.

Indicator 3.2.1 Data describing waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions are available to the Partnership.

<p>20. Please report briefly on data management of your organisation in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions. *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.</p>
<p>Your Response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Gulf of Mottama Project in Myanmar supported annual shorebird surveys in the Gulf of Mottama Ramsar Site conducted by our NGO members — Biodiversity and Nature Conservation Association (BANCA) and Nature Conservation Society (NCS).

Indicator 3.2.2 Two updates of waterbird population estimates have been produced and published.

21.

Please report on your planned contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review II. Please see the [CSR I Report](#) for further reference.

Your Response:

KRA 3.3 Updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritisation.

KRA 3.4 A stronger understanding is developed on the anticipated impacts of climate change on waterbirds and their habitats and this is informing planning and site management.

Indicator 3.4.1 Improved knowledge about threats, including climate change impacts, on waterbirds and their habitats is shared and appropriate action taken where possible.

22.

Please provide details on key research your organisation is involved in on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

- The 2023 IUCN Situation analysis on ecosystems of the Yellow Sea with particular reference to intertidal and associated coastal habitats, <https://iucn.org/resources/grey-literature/2023-iucn-situation-analysis-ecosystems-yellow-sea-particular-reference>.
- As part of the VN-ADAPT project, IUCN will be involved in mapping and promoting OECMs in the Mekong Delta, including consideration for important sites and corridors for migratory birds, <https://www.snv.org/project/viet-nam-nature-based-solutions-for-adaptation-in-agriculture>.

KRA 3.5 Collaborative research programmes are established to provide effective support for conservation and sustainable management efforts, particularly the sustainable use of resources for local livelihood benefits.

Indicator 3.5.1 Research programmes on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes have increased.

23.

Please provide brief information on areas of collaborative research programmes your organisation is involved in since MOP11 (March 2023) about the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds. Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Indicator 3.5.2 Knowledge generated is being applied in at least 50% of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

24.

Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programmes on the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 3.6 Best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation programmes, including the incorporation of traditional knowledge, are developed and made available.

Indicator 3.6.1 Best practice guidelines are available on the EAAFP website.

25.

Please provide brief details on the development and application of national-level best practice guidelines your organisation is involved in for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the application of traditional knowledge, published/made available since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

- IBRRI published a guide for Identifying and Prioritising Wetlands for Ramsar Site Designation in the Indo-Burma Region, <https://iucn.org/resources/grey-literature/identifying-and-prioritising-wetlands-ramsar-site-designation-indo-burma?fbclid=IwAR0PdZv4uwa8qm6VI5wHMwgc2WBsXMDHW4Xb-J-GAn1Xd6pP7L5EeYHTRZs>
- IUCN with partners published, A stocktaking report on other effective area-based conservation measures in China, <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/51555>

26.

In what ways have you considered, or already included traditional knowledge, Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (IPLCs), or citizen science in your work?

Additional information:

OBJECTIVE 4 Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resource managers, decision makers and local stakeholders.

KRA 4.1 EAAFP promotes the use of the range of available training tools and provides assistance to address challenges at Flyway Network Sites.

Indicator 4.1.1 All Partners and Secretariat have mechanisms for capacity building in place to facilitate the sharing of knowledge, tools and experience.

27.

Has your organisation been involved in identifying/developing capacity building activities and materials about migratory waterbird and habitat management?

If yes, please provide some details.

- ☐ Yes
☒ No
☐ Planned

Additional information:

<p>28.</p> <p>Has your organisation <u>implemented</u> capacity building activities and materials for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitats?</p> <p>If yes, please provide feedback on their values.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planned
<p>Additional information:</p> <p>• IBRRI provided financial support for a training on wetlands led by WWT: IBRRI supports first in-person training on wetland health and vitality in the Indo-Burma region • IUCN Myanmar supported a Research Symposium by interpreting research information into infographics to share with communities. • In Vietnam, via an EbA project, IUCN will be supporting Tram Chim National Park to restore natural wetland habitat.</p>	

Indicator 4.1.2 Partners and the Secretariat include capacity building assessment in project proposals.

<p>29.</p> <p>Has your organisation included a training needs assessment or survey in projects you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MOP11 (March 2023)?</p> <p>If yes, please provide some additional information.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
<p>Additional information:</p>	

Indicator 4.1.3 The EAAFP online technical training manual for Flyway Site management is supported and used by at least 50% of Flyway Site Managers.

<p>30.</p> <p>Has your organisation used the EAAFP online technical training materials for Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the usefulness of materials.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
<p>Additional information:</p>	

KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP objectives has increased.

Indicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site managers, is held per annum.

<p>31.</p> <p>Has your organisation been able to participate in any meetings of EAAFP Partner Focal Points? (e.g. Science Symposium, AFN workshops, CMS COP etc.)</p> <p>If yes, have any new collaborations with other EAAFP Partners been developed from these meeting(s)? Please provide details.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Planned
<p>Additional information:</p> <p>An IUCN delegation attended CMS COP.</p>	

KRA 4.3 Corporates with operations impacting on migratory waterbirds are engaged in delivering better outcomes for the conservation of waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 4.3.1 An increased number of internationally important sites and programmes, in which Corporates are contributing to positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

32.

Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programmes to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

OBJECTIVE 5 Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

KRA 5.1 Partners are actively collaborating to develop approaches to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF across national boundaries.

Indicator 5.1.1 At least 50% of Partners are collaborating across national boundaries initiatives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, particularly for threatened migratory waterbirds.

33.

Please provide brief details on your organisation's transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds (e.g. NEASPEC, AFN, bilateral agreements, AMBI, Sister Sites etc.).

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

- IBRRI has finalised the IBRRI Strategic Plan 2025-2030, which includes regional dialogues to integrate wetlands conservation and the Ramsar Convention into the work of the MRC, ASEAN, ACB and UN Agencies. It also lists the need for regional thematic policy dialogues to mainstream the values of wetlands into MEAs. The Strategic Plan will be launched at COP15 The Indo-Burma Ramsar Regional Initiative (IBRRI) finalises regional strategic plan on wetlands, <https://iucn.org/story/202503/indo-burma-ramsar-regional-initiative-ibrrri-finalises-regional-strategic-plan-wetlands>

34.

What does your organisation consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats particularly across national boundaries since MOP11 (March 2023)?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 5.2 Threatened migratory waterbirds are protected from threats and populations are stable or increasing.

Indicator 5.2.1 The Partnership, with leadership from IUCN, BirdLife International & Wetlands International, is updating and maintaining a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations and encouraging Government Partners to protect these threatened populations under national legislation.

35.

Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which your organisation has been involved in.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

36.

Has your organisation been involved in taking actions to reduce threats to migratory waterbirds?

If yes, please provide some examples of measures taken (e.g. addressing negative impacts of renewable energy, habitat restoration, etc.)

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Planned

Additional information:

• As part of the Gulf of Mottama Project, IUCN Myanmar led in establishment of Fish Conservation Zones in the Sittaung River of the Gulf of Mottama, which support critical sites for waterbirds. This contributes to habitat restoration for migratory waterbirds.

Indicator 5.2.2 Single Species Action Plans are developed and implemented for threatened migratory waterbird species in the EAAF.

37.

Please outline the contribution your organisation has made to the development and implementation of Threatened Species Action Plans since MOP11 (March 2023) (e.g. Far Eastern Curlew, Masked Finfoot, Black-faced Spoonbill, Spoon-billed Sandpiper).

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Indicator 5.2.3 Populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are either stable or increasing.

38.

Has your organisation been involved in any programme(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds?

If yes, please provide details.

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Planned

Additional information:

• As part of the Gulf of Mottama Project, IUCN Myanmar has supported annual shorebird surveys conducted by NCS. These surveys recorded sightings of waterbirds, including threatened species, and estimated their populations using the flock count method. Despite variations in sampling effort, the survey reports provided assessments of population status and trends over time.

KRA 5.3 Regional Action Plans are developed and implemented for priority geographic regions of the EAAF.

Indicator 5.3.1 Development and implementation of Regional Action Plans for geographical regions with common critical threats in the EAAF.

39.

What has been your organisation's involvement in the development and implementation of Regional Action Plans (e.g. SPREP, AFN, AMBI)?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 5.4 Measures to reduce and, as far as possible eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds are developed and implemented.

Indicator 5.4.1 All Government Partners have mechanisms in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds.

40.

What work has your organisation done to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate illegal hunting, taking and trade of migratory waterbirds?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 5.5 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is mainstreamed into national legislation and/or policy instruments including adaptation to the impacts of climate changes.

KRA 5.6 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is integrated into relevant multilateral and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms.

Indicator 5.6.1 Relevant environmental agreements recognise the EAAFP as an effective regional framework to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

41.

Please provide any suggestions your organisation has on strengthening existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

- To develop regional platforms or databases for real-time sharing of monitoring data (e.g., migration routes, population trends, habitat status) and to standardize monitoring protocols across countries to enable comparisons of data and joint assessments.

Others

42.

Please provide any feedback you have on this Partner Reporting Template.

Your Response:

43.

Please add any additional information you would like to share with us.

Your Response: