

WEAVING CONNECTIONS: Celebrating Migration, Traditional Knowledge, and Innovation across our Flyway



12th Meeting of Partners of the East Asian–Australasian Flyway Partnership 8-14 November 2025 • Cebu, Philippines

EAAFP MOP12 Reporting Template for Non-Government Partners

Dear Partners,

To report on the progress of the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan 2019-2028, a Reporting Template was developed at the 10th Meeting of Partners (MOP10) in Hainan, China which was subsequently used to gather progress from Partners for MOP11 held in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

The EAAFP Secretariat has amended the reporting template to account for the feedback gathered at MOP11 as well as the MOP11 decisions specifically Key Results Areas 1.2 and 1.6. To streamline the process and reduce the length of the reporting document, three separate templates have been created: for (1) Government Partners, (2) Non-Government Partners, and (3) Working Groups, Task Forces, and the Technical Sub-committee.

The "Reporting Questions" are linked to Key Result Areas to enable an assessment of progress with the implementation of each element of the Strategic Plan. Indicators have been provided to facilitate reporting and assess our achievements.

As this Reporting Template was developed in alignment with the Strategic Plan, some questions may appear to overlap. However, completing them all will provide comparability with the previous Partner Reports submitted for MOP11.

While the total number of questions for Non-Government Partners is 43, the number of questions for each Partner Group, and each mechanism of the Partnership, is shown below:

> Government 50 Questions

> Non-Government 43 Questions

Working Groups, Task Forces &

27 Questions Technial Sub-committee

This Reporting Template has been sent to the Focal Point of each Partner, the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Working Group and Task Force, and the Chair of the Technical Sub-committee.

Thank you,

Jennifer George

Chief Executive, EAAFP Secretariat

The EAAFP Reporting Questions

General Information

Name of Reporting Partner	Hanns Seidel Foundation Korea		
Reporting Group	☐ Inter-Governmental Organisations (IGO)		
	☑ International Non-Governmental Organisations (INGO)		
	☐ International Orga	nisation (IO)	
	☐ International Priva	ite Enterprise	
	☐ Other (please specify:)		
Designated EAAFP Focal Point	Name	: Dr. Bernhard Seliger	
	Position	: Representative	
	Department/Unit	: Hanns Seidel Foundation Korea	
	Street Address/	: Bernhard Seliger	
	P.O. Box		
	Postal Code/City	: Bernhard Seliger	
	Country	: RO Korea	
	E-mail address	: seliger@hss.or.kr	
	Phone Number	: +82-2-790-5344	
	Website	: https://korea.hss.de/en/	
Additional Designated EAAFP	Name	: Hyun-Ah Choi	
Focal Point (Optional)	Position	: Senior researcher	
	Department/Unit	: Hanns Seidel Foundation Korea	
	Street Address/	: #401 Sooyoung Bldg., 13 Hannamdaero 20-	
	P.O. Box	gil	
	Postal Codo/City	· 04410. Social	
	Postal Code/City	: 04419, Seoul : RO Korea	
	Country E-mail address	: hachoi@hss.or.kr	
	Phone Number	: +82-2-790-5344	
	Website	: https://korea.hss.de/en/	
Additional Designated EAAFP	Name	:	
Focal Point (Optional)	Position	· ·	
i couci ciii (cp iiciiu)	Department/Unit	:	
	Street Address/	:	
	P.O. Box		
	Postal Code/City	:	
	Country	:	
	E-mail address	:	
	Phone Number	:	
	Website	:	
Report Compiler	Name	: Dr. Hyun-Ah Choi	
	Position	: Senior researcher	
	Department/Unit	: Hanns Seidel Foundation Korea	
	Street Address/	: #401 Sooyoung Bldg., 13 Hannamdaero 20-	
	P.O. Box	gil	
	Postal Code/City	: 04419, Seoul	
	Country	: RO Korea	

E-mail address	: hachoi@hss.or.kr
Phone Number	: +82-2-790-5344
Website	: https://korea.hss.de/en/

Reporting on the implementation of the EAAFP Strategic Plan Reporting Period: 2023 – 2025

OBJECTIVE 1 Develop the Flyway Network of sites of international importance for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, building on the achievements of the Asia-Pacific Migratory Waterbird Conservation Strategy, with the ultimate goal of establishing a sufficient and efficient network of sites with sustainable management. (FSN page: https://www.eaaflyway.net/the-flyway/flyway-site-network/)

- Supplementary information: <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>, <u>EAAFP Strategic Plan</u> 2019-2028

KRA 1.1 A comprehensive and coherent Flyway Network of Sites is developed for migratory waterbirds, including sites that are not currently Protected Areas.

Indicator 1.1.1 The Flyway Site Network has expanded to include at least 40 additional strategic internationally important sites for migratory waterbird conservation, some of which may not currently be a national Protected

Area.	
1. Has your organisation documented any additional internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in the EAAF (see <u>EAA Flyway Network Sites Overview Report 2013</u>)? If yes, please provide details on these sites.	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned
Additional information: Hanns Seidel Foundation (HSF) Korea has not directly documented new sites but seresearch of key wetlands on the Korean Peninsula such as DMZ and Han River Esta through awareness raising and cooperation projects.	• •
2. Has your organisation supported Government Partners with their identification of high-priority sites for the potential nomination of the new Flyway Site Network? If yes, please provide details of your support and the associated sites.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned
Additional information: HSF Korea has collaborated with local governments and academia in promoting the of the Han River Estuary and DMZ area, indirectly supporting the identification of a Flyway Network Sites.	

KRA 1.2 National and Site Partnerships have been developed to coordinate the implementation of the EAAFP at national and local levels.

Indicator 1.2.2 At least 50% of Government Partners have an active <u>National Partnership</u> and <u>Site Partnerships</u> have been developed for at least 50% of the Flyway Network sites.

3.Has your organisation supported national meetings of Flyway Network Site Managers?If so, please describe the support you have provided (e.g. organising meetings, funding, etc.).	☑ Yes☐ No☐ Planned		
Additional information: HSF Korea has supported the Mundok EAAF Site managers both before and following the DPRK' joining the EAAFP. HSF Korea facilitated capacity building and stakeholder engagement activities, including providing logistical and technical support for site manager meetings and workshops related to the Mundok Wetland, aiming to strengthen local management capacity and promote awareness of EAAFP objectives within DPRK's context.			
4. Have you supported Flyway Network Site managers in developing work plans related to EAAFP Strategic Objectives? If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding etc.).	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned		
Additional information:			
KRA 1.3 Flyway Network Sites are valued by the community and sustainably managed. Indicator 1.3.1 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites have current management plans that address specific objectives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats and that are being adequately implemented. Management plans have stakeholder participation and are approved by relevant agencies.			
5. Have you supported developing or updating Management Plans of Flyway Network Sites? If so, please describe the support you have given (e.g. technical support, funding etc.).	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Planned		
Additional information:			

Indicator 1.3.2 At least 50% of Flyway Network Sites recognise the Flyway Site Network as a brand for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF.

6.	
Has your organisation supported the promotion of the "Flyway Site Network"	⊠ Yes
brand (e.g. information boards, advertising, etc.)?	□No
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant	□ Planned
publications.	
Additional information:	
HSF Korea actively promotes the Flyway Site Network by collaborating with partner	rs, including the EAAFP, to
raise awareness of the importance of migratory waterbirds and their habitats. This	includes organising and
supporting workshops, side events, and exhibitions during major flyway-related ac	tivities such as the CMS
COP 14, CBD COP 16 and the upcoming Asian Bird Fair 2025. Through these activit	ties, HSF Korea
contributes to enhancing the visibility of the Flyway Site Network among the public	, local stakeholders, and
decision-makers.	
Indicator 1.3.3 All Partners are using and complying with International standards (Ir	nternational Finance
Cooperation or equivalent) for development within and adjacent to FNS and other in	
waterbird sites.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
7.	
Please provide brief details on any sites of international importance for migratory w	-
adversely impacted by a proposed development and describe the assessment pro-	cess that was used or is
anticipated to be applied.	
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.	•
Your Response:	
HSF Korea actively monitors discussions on developments in sensitive areas, inclu	
and DMZ, and promotes awareness of environmental impact assessment through	policy dialogue.
8.	
Have any public consultation processes been implemented when a site of	□Yes
international importance for migratory waterbirds could be adversely impacted	□No
by a proposed development?	□ Planned
If yes, please provide brief details on the site(s) and if the development was	☐ Not known
approved.	
Additional information:	
Additional information:	

KRA 1.4 Where appropriate, Flyway Network Sites are being sustainably used to support subsistence livelihoods of the local community. Indicator 1.4.1 Where local communities at Flyway Network Sites depend on the natural resources of the site to support subsistence livelihoods, this is occurring without adverse impacts on migratory waterbirds and their habitats. 9. ☐ Yes Has your organisation worked with and/or supported local communities in any □No Flyway Network Sites that are dependent on the Site's natural resources to □ Planned support subsistence livelihoods? ☐ Not known If yes, please indicate details on the kind of support you have provided. Additional information: KRA 1.5 Partners and local stakeholders are engaged in responding to activities which may threaten Flyway Network Sites. Indicator 1.5.1 The level of engagement of EAAFP Partners and local communities in responding to threats to Flyway Network Sites is reflected in the number of meetings and events held and the participants attending. 10. Are you aware of any Flyway Network Sites or other sites of international ☐ Yes importance for migratory waterbirds that are under threat? □ No If yes, please provide details of the sites and the threats and what response you or your local stakeholders have undertaken. Additional information:

KRA 1.6 The EAAFP Sister Site Programme has expanded.

Indicator 1.6.1 At least five new EAAFP Sister Site relationships have been developed.

11.

What involvement has your organisation had in connecting Flyway Network Sites in different countries? *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

12.

Does your organisation have resources (e.g. funding or in-kind support, etc.) available to enable Sister Site relationships?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

OBJECTIVE 2 Enhance communication, education, participation and public awareness (CEPA) of the values of migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

KRA 2.1 The achievement of the elements in the EAAFP CEPA Strategy and Action Plan (2023-2028)

Indicator 2.1.1 The CEPA Action Plan has been monitored, reviewed and updated as necessary to inform the EAAFP.

Does your organisation have a CEPA Programme addressing migratory waterbirds and internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds? If yes, please provide brief details of the programme.	✓ Yes☐ No☐ Planned		
Additional information (website links if any):			
HSF Korea runs awarness programs, public exhibitions, and publications focusion and DMZ, wetlands in DPRK, targeting local communities, and general public.	ng on the Han River Estuary		
https://korea.hss.de/en/publications/			
https://korea.hss.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Projects_HSS/Korea/Dokumente/F	Iver-and-Booklets-		
Publications/Flyers_and_Factsheets_Version_2019/2019_Factsheet_Wetlands_			
https://korea.hss.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Contact_images/2025_Uploads/Mages/Mages/2025_Uploads/Mages/Mag	•		
ea 0325 DE online 1 .pdf	<u> </u>		
14.	□Yes		
Has your organisation made use of the <u>EAAFP CEPA Action Plan 2023-2028</u> whe	n 🗆 No		
planning and implementing the CEPA activities?	□ Planned		
Additional information (give examples of how the Action Plan was reflected e.g.	in Flyway Network Sites,		
targeting the public, etc.):			
HSF Korea plans to align its awareness activities with the EAAFP CEPA Action Plans	an		
15.	□ Communication		
What CEPA activities has your organisation supported in Flyway Network Sites?	⊠ Education		
	☑ Participation		
Additional information (list of events and/or news/report links):			
Regular environmental CEPA workshops for stakeholders and DMZ field trips.			

16. Has your organisation developed, and/or been in awareness-raising programmes, particularly at F Network Sites, with the following groups (check a apply)?	nplementing ☐ Edu lyway ☐ Si all that ☐ G ☐ So		ents
	□ Ind □ No □ Pla		nmunities
Additional information (please provide a detailed aims, and major achievements): Delivered through flyers, booklets, field programs	description of the p) including target groups,
Has your organisation hosted or supported events for World Migratory Bird Day, World Wetlands Day or other international awareness-raising events since MOP11 (March 2023)?		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Planned	
Additional information (list of events and any new	vs/report links):		
18. Please add below, the success stories you would involvement of Indigenous peoples and local cor*Please provide weblinks if available online or re	mmunities (IPLCs), y	outh groups,	citizen scientists, etc.)
Your Response:			
OBJECTIVE 3 Enhance flyway research and monit information on waterbirds and their habitats. KRA 3.1 National monitoring systems to assess the established, maintained and further enhanced. Indicator 3.1.1 A standardised monitoring method and used in nationally coordinated monitoring pro-	e status of migratory	y waterbirds a	and their habitats are
19.	□ Australia		□ New Zealand
In what countries is your organisation involved	□ Indonesia		□ Malaysia
in migratory waterbird and/or site monitoring	□ Japan		□ Myanmar
(select all that apply)? Please provide details on the monitoring	☐ Philippines		□ Viet Nam □ Domocratic Poonlo's
programme(s) and monitoring efforts since	☒ Republic of Kor☒ Russia		□ Democratic People's □ Republic of Korea
MOP11 (March 2023).	□ Singapore		□ Papua New Guinea
,	☐ United States of		□ Brunei Darussalam
	☐ Cambodia		☐ Lao People's Democratic

	☐ China	Republic	
	□ Bangladesh	☐ Democratic Republic of	
	☐ Thailand	Timor-Leste	
Note: Countries are listed in order of joining the	□ Mongolia	□ None	
Partnership.			
Additional information:			
Delivered through flyers, booklets, field programs, and seminars.			

KRA 3.2 Conservation status reviews for waterbird populations are produced and updated to set and adapt priorities for action.

Indicator 3.2.1 Data describing waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions are available to the Partnership.

20.

Please report briefly on data management of your organisation in relation to migratory waterbird population estimates, trends and distributions.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Delivered through research papers, booklets, and seminars.

Choi, H.A. 2024. Bird Sanctuaries Surveyed to Confirm Number of Anser cygnoides. Goose Bulletin, Issue 30.

Choi, H.A., Kim, E., Lee, E., Jung, I., Han, D., 2024. The Analysis of Avian Feed Source and Management Direction after the Introduction of Payments for Ecosystem Services: A Case Study of Janghang Wetland in Goyang. Journal of Wetlands Research, 26(3): 219-226. https://doi.org/10.17663/JWR.2024.26.3.219

Choi, H.A., Seliger, B. 2023. Population Trend of the Geese in Border Area, Gimpo, Republic of Korea. Goose Bulletin, Issue 29.

Choi, H.A., Seliger, B., Lee, W.K. 2023. Ecological Cooperation beyond the DMZ on the Korean Peninsula: Birds Know No Man-made Borders. Korea Journal, 63(2): 128 - 150.

https://doi.org/10.25024/kj.2023.63.2.128

Choi, H.A., Seliger, B., Han, D. Rapid ecosystem services assessment of Mundok Ramsar wetland in Democratic People's Republic of Korea and opportunities to improve well-being. Journal of Ecology and Environment, Journal of Ecology and Environment, 47:03 https://doi.org/10.5141/jee.23.010

Indicator 3.2.2 Two updates of waterbird population estimates have been produced and published.

21.

Please report on your planned contribution to the migratory waterbird Conservation Status Review II. Please see the <u>CSR I Report</u> for further reference.

Your Response:

KRA 3.3 Updated list of sites of international importance for migratory waterbirds for conservation management and prioritisation.

KRA 3.4 A stronger understanding is developed on the anticipated impacts of climate change on waterbirds and their habitats and this is informing planning and site management.

Indicator 3.4.1 Improved knowledge about threats, including climate change impacts, on waterbirds and their habitats is shared and appropriate action taken where possible.

22.

Please provide details on key research your organisation is involved in on climate change impacts on migratory waterbirds and wetlands in the EAAF, published since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

HSF Korea participates in policy dialogue and supports studies linking climate change, biodiversity, and peacebuilding on the Korean Peninsula.

Joined Advisory group for Restoration creation and management of saltmarshes and tidal flats - collation of evidence-based guidance

KRA 3.5 Collaborative research programmes are established to provide effective support for conservation and sustainable management efforts, particularly the sustainable use of resources for local livelihood benefits.

Indicator 3.5.1 Research programmes on improving conservation and sustainable management outcomes have increased.

23.

Please provide brief information on areas of collaborative research programmes your organisation is involved in since MOP11 (March 2023) about the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds. Please also share any recent similar research programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

Indicator 3.5.2 Knowledge generated is being applied in at least 50% of internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

24.

Please give examples of how knowledge generated through research programmes on the improvement of conservation and sustainable management outcomes is being applied at internationally important sites for migratory waterbirds.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 3.6 Best practice guidelines for waterbird and habitat conservation programmes, including the incorporation of traditional knowledge, are developed and made available.

Indicator 3.6.1 Best practice guidelines are available on the EAAFP website.

25. Please provide brief details on the development and application of national-level by your organisation is involved in for waterbird and habitat conservation, including the knowledge, published/made available since MOP11 (March 2023). Please also sharesearch programmes, both regionally and globally, that you are aware of. *Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.	ne application of traditional are any recent similar
Your Response:	
26. In what ways have you considered, or already included traditional knowledge, India Communities (IPLCs), or citizen science in your work?	genous Peoples and Local
Additional information:	
OBJECTIVE 4 Build the habitat and waterbird management capacity of natural resonances and local stakeholders. KRA 4.1 EAAFP promotes the use of the range of available training tools and provide challenges at Flyway Network Sites. Indicator 4.1.1 All Partners and Secretariat have mechanisms for capacity building sharing of knowledge, tools and experience.	es assistance to address
Has your organisation been involved in <u>identifying/developing</u> capacity building activities and materials about migratory waterbird and habitat management? If yes, please provide some details.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Planned
Additional information:	
28. Has your organisation <u>implemented</u> capacity building activities and materials for migratory waterbirds and the management of their habitats? If yes, please provide feedback on their values.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Planned
Additional information:	

Indicator 4.1.2 Partners and the Secretariat include capacity building assessment in project proposals
--

29.	□Yes
Has your organisation included a training needs assessment or survey in projects	□No
you have developed, funded, and/or implemented since MOP11 (March 2023)?	□ Not applicable
If yes, please provide some additional information.	□ Planned
Additional information:	
ndicator 4.1.3 The EAAFP online technical training manual for Flyway Site manage by at least 50% of Flyway Site Managers.	ement is supported and use
30.	□Yes
Has your organisation used the <u>EAAFP online technical training materials</u> for	□ No
Flyway Site management? Please provide some additional information on the	□ Planned
T tyway Site management: Flease provide some additional mornation on the	
usefulness of materials.	□ Flaiiiieu
,	□ Ftaillieu
usefulness of materials. Additional information: KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of the second state of the second st	bjectives has increased.
usefulness of materials. Additional information: KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of the second state of the second st	bjectives has increased.
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP on the managers of the manag	bjectives has increased.
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a managers of the endicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site managers 11.	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum.
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a material of Partner Focal Points, including site managements of the	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum. Yes
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials of Partner Focal Points, including site managements of the state of	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum. Yes No
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a material of Partner Focal Points, including site managers.) 31. Has your organisation been able to participate in any meetings of EAAFP Partner Focal Points? (e.g. Science Symposium, AFN workshops, CMS COP etc.) If yes, have any new collaborations with other EAAFP Partners been developed.	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum. Yes No
usefulness of materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials. Additional information: (RA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a materials and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of a managers to pursue the EAAFP of a managers to pursue the EAAFP partners and site managers and site managers to pursue the EAAFP partners and site managers and site	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum. Yes No Planned
usefulness of materials. Additional information: KRA 4.2 Capacity of Partner Focal Points and site managers to pursue the EAAFP of Indicator 4.2.2 At least one meeting of Partner Focal Points, including site managers 31. Has your organisation been able to participate in any meetings of EAAFP Partner Focal Points? (e.g. Science Symposium, AFN workshops, CMS COP etc.) If yes, have any new collaborations with other EAAFP Partners been developed from these meeting(s)? Please provide details. Additional information:	bjectives has increased. rs, is held per annum. Yes No Planned

KRA 4.3 Corporates with operations impacting on migratory waterbirds are engaged in delivering better outcomes for the conservation of waterbirds and their habitats.

Indicator 4.3.1 An increased number of internationally important sites and programmes, in which Corporates are contributing to positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

32.

Please provide details you have on corporate engagement at internationally important sites and in programmes to develop positive outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

HSF Korea supports cross-border ecological dialogue between the ROK and DPRK. Collaborated with EAAFP, NEASPEC, and local partners on peace and biodiversity initiatives.

OBJECTIVE 5 Develop, especially for priority species and habitats, flyway wide approaches to enhance the conservation status of migratory waterbirds.

KRA 5.1 Partners are actively collaborating to develop approaches to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats in the EAAF across national boundaries.

Indicator 5.1.1 At least 50% of Partners are collaborating across national boundaries initiatives for the conservation of migratory waterbirds, particularly for threatened migratory waterbirds.

33.

Please provide brief details on your organisation's transboundary involvement in international collaborative initiatives for threatened migratory waterbirds (e.g. NEASPEC, AFN, bilateral agreements, AMBI, Sister Sites etc.).

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

34.

What does your organisation consider to be the key innovative and/or improved approaches to the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats particularly across national boundaries since MOP11 (March 2023)?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

KRA 5.2 Threatened migratory waterbirds are protected from threats and populations are stable or increasing.

Indicator 5.2.1 The Partnership, with leadership from IUCN, BirdLife International & Wetlands International, is updating and maintaining a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations and encouraging Government Partners to protect these threatened populations under national legislation.

35.

Please provide information on the development of a list of threatened migratory waterbird populations in the EAAF in which your organisation has been involved in.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

HSF Korea promotes sustainable alternatives to economic development projects threatening key wetlands, advocates for habitat restoration along Han River Estuary, and supports citizen science programs. Additionally, HSF Korea has participated in the conservation activities for the Black-faced Spoonbill, including supporting the update of the Single Species Action Plan, contributing to regional collaboration efforts for this globally threatened species within the EAAF.

https://korea.hss.de/en/news/detail/fuehrende-naturschuetzer-wollen-die-zukunft-des-schwarzgesichtloeffels-sichern-1-news12656/

36.		
Has your organisation been involved in taking actions to reduce threats to	□Yes	
migratory waterbirds?	□No	
If yes, please provide some examples of measures taken (e.g. addressing	□ Planned	
negative impacts of renewable energy, habitat restoration, etc.)		
Additional information:		
Indicator 5.2.2 Single Species Action Plans are developed and implemented for thr species in the EAAF.	eatened migratory waterbird	
37.		
Please outline the contribution your organisation has made to the development ar Threatened Species Action Plans since MOP11 (March 2023) (e.g. Far Eastern Curl faced Spoonbill, Spoon-billed Sandpiper).	lew, Masked Finfoot, Black-	
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications	•	
Your Response:		
Indicator 5.2.3 Populations of threatened migratory waterbirds are either stable or 38. Has your organisation been involved in any programme(s) to assess changes in the status of populations of threatened waterbirds? If yes, please provide details.	increasing. □ Yes □ No □ Planned	
Additional information:		
KRA 5.3 Regional Action Plans are developed and implemented for priority geograph Indicator 5.3.1 Development and implementation of Regional Action Plans for geograph common critical threats in the EAAF.	_	
39.		
What has been your organisation's involvement in the development and implemen	ntation of Regional Action	
Plans (e.g. SPREP, AFN, AMBI)?		
*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications	i .	
Your Response:		

KRA 5.4 Measures to reduce and, as far as possible eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds are developed and implemented.

Indicator 5.4.1 All Government Partners have mechanisms in place to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate, illegal hunting, take and trade of migratory waterbirds.

40.

What work has your organisation done to reduce and, as far as possible, eliminate illegal hunting, taking and trade of migratory waterbirds?

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

HSF Korea organised the Topical Workshop on Illegal Killing, Taking, Trade & Energy Infrastructure in Central Asian Flyway.

https://www.thinkglobalnetwork.org/news/entry/workshop-on-workshop-on-flight-for-survival-addressing-illegal-killing-taking-and-trade-of-migratory-birds-and-impacts-of-energy-infrastructures-in-the-central-asian-flyway

KRA 5.5 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is mainstreamed into national legislation and/or policy instruments including adaptation to the impacts of climate changes.

KRA 5.6 The conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats is integrated into relevant multilateral and bilateral agreements and other regional mechanisms.

Indicator 5.6.1 Relevant environmental agreements recognise the EAAFP as an effective regional framework to conserve migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

41.

Please provide any suggestions your organisation has on strengthening existing multilateral regional and bilateral agreements, and other regional mechanisms to deliver better outcomes for migratory waterbirds and their habitats.

*Please provide weblinks if available online or references for relevant publications.

Your Response:

HSF Korea recommends greater integration of the EAAFP framework into inter-Korean dialogues on biodiversity, leveraging EAAFP's neutrality and technical expertise to build cross-border cooperation on wetland and waterbird conservation.

Others

42.

Please provide any feedback you have on this Partner Reporting Template.

Your Response:

43.

Please add any additional information you would like to share with us.

Your Response:

HSF Korea recommends greater integration of the EAAFP framework into inter-Korean dialogues on biodiversity, leveraging EAAFP's neutrality and technical expertise to build cross-border cooperation on wetland and waterbird conservation.